

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	ו No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/010,19:	3	TSUCHIDA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		KEVIN R. F	RUER	1794	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a				
Period for Reply					
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ma	ay 30 2008 .			
2a)□		This action is r	on-final.		
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Isposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-13</u> is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and ⊺ PTO-326 (R€		Action Summar	/	Part of Paper No. 20080619	

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 30, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-6 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quinn et al (US 3,642,584).

Quinn teaches a plastic substrate that is plated with a metal by pretreating the substrate with phosphorous sesquisulfide (herein relied upon to read on the claimed "ion exchange group introduction agent") in an organic solvent to deposit phosphorus sesquisulfide at the surface, followed by contacting the treated surface with a metal salt or complex thereof, to form a metal-phosphorus-sulfur compound and then with a solution of an alkali metal hydroxide or carbonate or ammonium hydroxide or a non-oxidizing mineral acid (abstract). The resulting treated surface is conductive (abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/010,193 Art Unit: 1794

The metal is selected from the group consisting of copper, silver, gold, chromium, vanadium, tantalum, cadmium, tungsten, molybdenum, and the like (col 3, lines 39+). The plastic substrate may comprise phenol resins, polypropylene resins, epoxy resins, or ABS (col 1, lines 65+). NOTE: With respect to claim 3, the "metal element" in Quinn is metal.

The specification teaches the resistivity of the component containing metal element has a special characteristic resistivity value that is intrinsic to the material. Since the material is identical to the taught material, the metal element is understood to have the same resistivity. Furthermore, Quinn teaches the same resin base layer and the resistivity is intrinsic to said material as well. Thus, the composite taught in Quinn is understood to inherently meet the "ratio of the resin composite material to the resistivity of said component containing metal element".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant does not teach the temperature and humidity at which the resistivity is measured.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/010,193 Art Unit: 1794

Applicant's arguments filed May 30, 2008 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN R. KRUER whose telephone number is (571)272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00a.m. to 4:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1700.

/Kevin R Kruer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794